

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,680	03/30/2004	David C. Boyer	02274/6622AUS	4028
20879 7590 12/29/2005			EXAMINER	
EMCH, SCH	AFFER, SCHAUB &	BRUNSMAN, DAVID M		
P O BOX 916 ONE SEAGATE SUITE 1980 TOLEDO, OH 43697			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			AKI ONII	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/812,680	BOYER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David M. Brunsman	1755			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,8-11,13 and 14 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 4,7,12,15 and 16 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the description of the	vn from consideration.  relection requirement.  repted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040628.	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te. <u>20051209</u> .			

Application/Control Number: 10/812,680

Art Unit: 1755

This action replaces the Requirement for Restriction mailed 06 December 2005.

Please disregard that office communication.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4, 7, 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 includes unnecessary incorporation by reference to Table 1 of the specification. It should be rewritten to complete in itself.

## 2173.05(s) Reference to Figures or Tables

Where possible, claims are to be complete in themselves. Incorporation by reference to a specific figure or table "is permitted only in exceptional circumstances where there is no practical way to define the invention in words and where it is more concise to incorporate by reference than duplicating a drawing or table into the claim. Incorporation by reference is a necessity doctrine, not for applicant's convenience." Ex parte Fressola, 27 USPQ2d 1608, 1609 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1993) (citations omitted). Reference characters corresponding to elements recited in the detailed description and the drawings may be used in conjunction with the recitation of the same element or group of elements in the claims. See MPEP § 608.01(m).

Claim 7 lacks antecedent basis in the base claim for "driveway sealer". The verb "exhibit" also fails to agree with the subject. Claim 12 lacks antecedent basis for "binder" in the base claim. Claim 15 fails to set forth the conditions at which the Brookfield viscosity is measured.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1755

Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for inventions wherein the anti-wear agent comprises aliphatic carboxylic acids and aliphatic polyamines, does not reasonably provide enablement for those wherein the anti-wear agent is any antistripping agent. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The patentability of the instant invention relies on a finding that it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any known asphalt antistripping agent (in general) as an anti-wear agent in a pitch based sealer or sealer base. For this to hold, the effect on the antiwear properties of any particular antistripping agent must not be predictable. The instant specification specifically dicloses only a single agent, aliphatic carboxylic acids and aliphatic polyamines, as effective and fails to teach one of ordinary skill in the art which other antistripping agent are effective as anti-wear agent in pitch-based sealers.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the

Application/Control Number: 10/812,680

Art Unit: 1755

applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6383365.

The reference teaches a driveway sealing emulsion (Column 4, lines 44-50) comprising water, clay, emulsifier and a pitch-based sealer base comprising a cutback oil/pitch blend softening at 44-48 C (see examples) that is produced by combining a cutback solvent and a 115 C softening point pitch. Column 5, lines 39-54 teach addition of an organic amine antistripping agent to the sealer composition to promote coating and adhesion. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add a known antistripping agent to the exemplary compositions of the reference because it teaches they would be expected to improve coating and adhesion. More fundamentally, it would have been obvious on its face to add an "anti-wear agent" to a sealer composition to improve wear characteristics by the very meaning of the term "anti-wear agent".

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6267809.

Claim 4 of the reference teaches a driveway sealer emulsion comprising water, clay, emulsifier and a pitch component softening below 50 C, the pitch component comprising a blend of a pitch softening at over 100 C and aromatic cutback oil. Column 5, lines 36-48 teach addition of an amine antistripping agent to the sealer composition to promote coating and adhesion. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add a known antistripping agent to the exemplary compositions of the reference because it teaches they would be expected to promote coating and adhesion. More fundamentally, it would have been obvious on its face to add an "anti-wear agent" to a sealer composition to improve wear characteristics by the very meaning of the term "anti-wear agent".

Application/Control Number: 10/812,680

Art Unit: 1755

The instant specification provides convincing evidence that use of an anti-wear agent comprising aliphatic carboxylic acids and aliphatic polyamines (PAVE 192, for example) provides unexpectedly improved wear characteristics to sealer bases and the sealer emulsions comprising them. The evidence of the specification is not commensurate in scope with claims 15 and 16. In fact, evidence that any known antistripping agent would produce similarly improved wear characteristics would undermine the patentability of the instant claims as the addition of antistripping agent in general is explicitly taught by the prior art of record.

Page 5

Claims 4, 7 and 12 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-11, 13 and 14 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Brunsman whose telephone number is 571-272-1365. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, F, Sa; 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,680 Page 6

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M Brunsman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

**DMB**